



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

₩ 273,				
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
109/428,134	10/26/1999	JASMIN AJANOVIC	042390.P6341	4288

09/428,134

10/26/1999

042390.P6341

7590

07/02/2003

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 900251026

EXAMINER

LEFKOWITZ, SUMATI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2189

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· . i.)'	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/428,134	AJANOVIC ET AL.	\mathcal{A}
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sumati Lefkowitz	2189	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and avoid abandonment of this application.	ation. A proper reply the holds are strongly to the holds are strongly	o a on in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W/706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF dof extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. So FR 1.136(a) and the approposition of the fee. The approportion of the fee. The approportion of the final Of	. ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		•
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ar	nendment
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ rettee the application in condition for allowance 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	because: See Continuation Sheet.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:	P		- /

Sumati Lefkowitz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2189 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: while the ICH may be capable of supporting multiple different buses with separate protocols, that is only one embodiment disclosed in the specification. On page 14, lines 7-9, the specification also indicates that the hub interface agent, in another embodiment, does not implement separate internal pipes, so that a default Pipe ID can be used, thereby implying that only one type of bus can be supported as well .